

## Survey 4: Publication

You have just completed a small clinical study in which the drug appears to have worked, but the result just misses statistical significance. It occurs to you that by randomly selecting values from your previously published study you could increase the size of your control group and thereby demonstrate a significant effect.

1. Based on this information, should you supplement your data with numbers from the previously published experiment? \_\_ Yes \_\_ No
2. Would using the previously published data be unethical? \_\_ Yes \_\_ No

Please use the scale below to rank the level of your agreement or disagreement with each of the following statements.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree

*We publish our research findings to*

3. contribute to the body of scientific knowledge. \_\_\_\_\_
4. improve our experimental work. \_\_\_\_\_
5. meet research funding requirements. \_\_\_\_\_
6. advertise our work to future trainees and lab associates. \_\_\_\_\_
7. promote our careers. \_\_\_\_\_

*It is acceptable to*

8. omit contradictory results from a paper. \_\_\_\_\_
9. publish the same paper in two very different journals. \_\_\_\_\_
10. re-publish data in a second, very different journal. \_\_\_\_\_

- 11. re-publish data with citation of the earlier work. \_\_\_\_\_
- 12. use words written by a colleague without citing the source. \_\_\_\_\_
- 13. use data from a colleague without citing the source. \_\_\_\_\_